

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
RICHMOND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )  
v. ) Criminal Case No.:  
CHIKOSI LEGINS ) 3:19 CR 104

June 29, 2020

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID J. NOVAK  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

## APPEARANCES:

12 Thomas A. Garnett, Esquire  
OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
919 East Main Street, Suite 1900  
13 Richmond, Virginia 23219

14 Kathryn E. Gilbert, Esquire  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
15 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, 4025 NYA  
Washington, DC 20530

Counsel on behalf of the United States

18 Charles A. Gavin, Esquire  
19 CAWTHORNE DESKEVICH & GAVIN PC  
1409 Eastridge Road  
20 Richmond, Virginia 23229

Counsel on behalf of the Defendant

23 TRACY J. STROH, RPR  
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER  
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1                   **I N D E X**2                   **WITNESSES**

3	Examination By:	Page
4		
5	Direct       JOHNNY LAVENDER	10
6	Cross       - MR. GARNETT	28
7	Redirect     - MR. GAVIN	
8	- MR. GARNETT	38

7                   **EXHIBITS**

9	Government	Description	Page
10	No. 1	Photograph	17
11	No. 2	Photograph	17
12	No. 3	Photograph	17
13	No. 4	Photograph	17
14	No. 5	Photograph	17
15	No. 6	Photograph	17
16	No. 7	Photograph	17
17	No. 8	Photograph	17
18	Defendant		
19	No. 1	Redacted version of interview with Gregory Largent	29
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

(The proceeding commenced at 9:32 a.m.)

2 THE CLERK: Criminal matter 3:19 CR 104,  
3 *United States of America v. Chikosi Legins.* Mr. Charles  
4 A. Gavin representing the defendant. Mr. Thomas A.  
5 Garnett and Kathryn E. Gilbert representing the  
6 government.

Counsel, are we ready to proceed?

8 MR. GARNETT: The United States is ready,  
9 Your Honor.

10 MR. GAVIN: Defendant is ready, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Before we get started,  
12 obviously, we're in a new era since the time that we had  
13 this trial in terms of wearing masks and such. If you're  
14 going to speak, counsel and Mr. Legins, you'll rise, take  
15 your mask to the side so the court reporter can hear you.  
16 When you're done speaking, put your mask back on and then  
17 sit back down. I'm obviously not wearing a mask because  
18 I'm going to do a lot of speaking and because I'm also  
19 six feet away.

20 Everybody understand how we're going to do this?

21 MR. GARNETT: Yes, Your Honor.

22 MR. GAVIN: Yes, sir.

23 THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Garnett, as I  
24 understand it, the government has no objections to the  
25 presentence report; is that right?

1 MR. GARNETT: That's correct, Your Honor, yes.

2 THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Gavin, your  
3 objection is to the guideline calculation, which we're  
4 going to talk about here in a moment. But there's no  
5 other substantive objection to the presentence report; is  
6 that right?

7 MR. GAVIN: That's correct.

8 THE COURT: I'm sorry?

9 MR. GAVIN: Sorry. That's correct.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. GAVIN: And with regard to the family  
12 history, factual information, there's no objection there  
13 either.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Legins, do you want to  
15 rise? Take your mask off.

16 Mr. Legins, a presentence report was prepared  
17 for you. I gather Mr. Gavin brought that report to you;  
18 is that right?

19 THE DEFENDANT: That's right, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Gavin has some legal  
21 objections to the calculation of the guidelines. We're  
22 going to be dealing with that today and then in July as  
23 well. But other than the guideline calculations, did you  
24 think that there was anything else that was an error in  
25 that report?

1           THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

2           THE COURT: Everything else is accurate, then?

3           THE DEFENDANT: Nothing but the guidelines.

4           THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. You can put  
5 your mask back on and have a seat.

6           So other than the guideline calculation, I'm  
7 going to accept the presentence report as is.

8           Now, as I understand it, the government -- and  
9 I've already ruled on it -- is the government is going to  
10 put on Agent Lavender; is that right?

11          MR. GARNETT: Agent Lavender, yes, sir.

12          THE COURT: Lavender. Do you have any other  
13 evidence you want to introduce other than that?

14          MR. GARNETT: No, Your Honor.

15          THE COURT: All right. Are you going to want to  
16 introduce any evidence now? The concept of today is I  
17 want to get the evidence done. I'm going to tell you  
18 where I am on the calculations, and then we're going to  
19 have -- I'm going to have Officer Foote do another  
20 calculation and give you some briefing to do here. And  
21 then we'll do the 3553(a) factors July 14th. Is everybody  
22 with me?

23          MR. GAVIN: Yes, sir.

24          MR. GARNETT: Yes, Your Honor.

25          THE COURT: Somebody is raising their hand in

1 the back. Ma'am?

2 MS. LEGINS: Yes. May I have my daughter sit  
3 next to me since this is the first time she's in a  
4 courtroom and she's under age? I understand you all have  
5 the six feet rule, but I want to know if she could sit  
6 right next to me here. We live in the same house, and we  
7 breathe the same air.

8 THE COURT: Are you --

9 MS. LEGINS: Yes.

10 THE COURT: -- Mr. Legins' wife?

11 MS. LEGINS: Yes.

12 THE COURT: Yeah. Why don't you just move  
13 closer to her. How does that sound?

14 MS. LEGINS: Okay. Thank you.

15 THE COURT: Is that all right?

16 MS. LEGINS: Yeah, that's fine.

17 THE COURT: Is that your son over there, too?

18 MS. LEGINS: Yes, that's my son, too.

19 THE COURT: That's fine. You guys can move  
20 together. That's fine. Just move towards the wall. How  
21 is that?

22 MS. LEGINS: Move towards the wall? Okay.

23 THE COURT: Yeah. Is that all right?

24 MS. LEGINS: Yeah, that's fine.

25 Did you want us over there out of the way from

1 them or --

2 THE COURT: Well, that's the defense side. Why  
3 don't you go sit over there.

4 MS. LEGINS: Okay. Because you didn't have an X  
5 there. That's why we sat here.

6 THE COURT: Well, I don't do Xs. You want to  
7 just stay away from everybody else.

8 MS. LEGINS: Okay. Thank you.

9 THE COURT: All right. Okay. My --

10 MR. GAVIN: Judge, to answer the question, I  
11 won't have any evidence unless I have to introduce  
12 something through Special Agent Lavender's cross.

13 THE COURT: Okay. You did submit a number of  
14 letters from family members and friends, which I've  
15 reviewed, and that will go into the 3553(a) factors.

16 What you're saying is to me, though, is you  
17 don't intend to call them as witnesses; is that right?

18 MR. GAVIN: No, sir.

19 THE COURT: So the defendant will get a chance  
20 to allocate in July.

21 MR. GAVIN: Yes, sir.

22 THE COURT: But I just wanted to make sure. So  
23 the only evidence that we're putting on now is Agent  
24 Lavender; is that right?

25 MR. GARNETT: Yes, Your Honor.

1           THE COURT: Okay.

2           MR. GAVIN: Yes, sir.

3           THE COURT: All right. Let's do that first, and  
4 then we're going to talk about the guidelines. So -- oh,  
5 and before we do that, let me ask you a couple questions  
6 here.

7           So, Mr. Garnett, in your motion here, you kind  
8 of told half the story on this. You know, you were right  
9 that I had originally ruled, under your 413 motion, you  
10 could put on the testimony of G.L. Then I reversed myself  
11 in the middle of trial, but then I reversed myself again.

12          MR. GARNETT: Yes, Your Honor. I noted that --  
13 I saw that in the transcript, Your Honor. That was not my  
14 intent was to somehow imply that Your Honor hadn't  
15 given -- that's why I noted that we just did not call him  
16 at trial. I just wanted to cite to the two orders on the  
17 docket.

18          THE COURT: All right.

19          MR. GARNETT: And that's why I noted that we  
20 hadn't called him.

21          THE COURT: Okay. The point is it was your  
22 decision not to call G.L. at trial. Do you agree with  
23 that?

24          MR. GARNETT: Yes, Your Honor.

25          THE COURT: Okay. And was he here, present,

1 available? Did you writ him in?

2 MR. GARNETT: Yes, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: And is he still in custody now?

4 MR. GARNETT: He is not -- oh, I'm sorry. Yes,  
5 Your Honor, he is.

6 THE COURT: Okay. But you didn't writ him in  
7 today?

8 MR. GARNETT: No, sir.

9 THE COURT: Is there a reason for that?

10 MR. GARNETT: Yes, Your Honor. We believe that  
11 Special Agent Lavender's testimony is sufficient to  
12 present his account to the Court. Mr. Gavin will be able  
13 to cross-examine any weaknesses that he sees in the  
14 account. We didn't want to essentially do a mini-trial  
15 with Mr. Largent as the victim in this case. We felt we  
16 could do that adequately through the special agent's  
17 testimony.

18 THE COURT: Well, I mean, you're certainly  
19 permitted to do that. I've already ruled that. The  
20 problem is is that I can't assess his demeanor. I mean, I  
21 can go off it by what Agent Lavender is going to say, but  
22 I can't see the witness and make a decision.

23 I'm just pointing that out because while I'm  
24 letting you put it on, I haven't decided the weight that  
25 I'm going to give it because you, on your own, have made

Johnny Lavender - Direct

10

1 the decision not to bring that witness in here. You can  
2 put the testimony on, but I'm not telling you I'm going to  
3 give it any weight until I -- we're going to have some  
4 briefing on this as we go forward. Do you understand what  
5 I'm saying to you?

6 MR. GARNETT: Yes, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: All right. Do you want to call  
8 Agent Lavender?

9 MR. GARNETT: Yes, Your Honor. The  
10 United States would call Special Agent Lavender.

11 **JOHNNY LAVENDER,**

12 called by the government, first being duly sworn,  
13 testified as follows:

14 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Garnett.

15 MR. GARNETT: Thank you, Your Honor.

16 **DIRECT EXAMINATION**

17 BY MR. GARNETT:

18 Q Good morning, Agent. Could you please spell your  
19 name for the court reporter?

20 A My name is Johnny Lavender, J-O-H-N-N-Y,  
21 L-A-V-E-N-D-E-R.

22 Q And how are you employed?

23 A I'm a special agent with the Federal Bureau of  
24 Investigation.

25 Q Were you involved in the investigation of this

Johnny Lavender - Direct

11

1 particular case?

2 A Yes.

3 Q On February 13th of 2020, did you travel to FCI  
4 Petersburg to interview a transgender inmate by the name  
5 of Gregory Largent?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And why did you do that?

8 A We just finished a trial involving Mr. Legins, and I  
9 knew there would be a sentencing, and I wanted to speak to  
10 Ms. Largent in person.

11 Q Okay. To that date, had you personally interacted  
12 with Ms. Largent?

13 A No, I had not.

14 Q And did you understand, though, that Ms. Largent had  
15 been incarcerated at FCI Petersburg between 2014 and May  
16 of 2016?

17 A Yes.

18 Q In May of 2016, was Ms. Largent transferred to  
19 another BOP facility?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Do you understand Brandon Lemagne to have been  
22 incarcerated at FCI Petersburg from late November 2016  
23 through May 11th, 2018?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Are you aware of any overlap between Ms. Largent and

Johnny Lavender - Direct

12

1 Mr. Lemagne's incarceration at FCI Petersburg?

2 A No, I am not.

3 Q During that same time period, Agent Lavender,  
4 focusing on the 2014 to 2016 for Ms. Largent, was the  
5 defendant employed as a correctional officer at FCI  
6 Petersburg?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And during your interview, to summarize briefly, did  
9 Ms. Largent tell you that she had had sex with the  
10 defendant while she was an inmate at FCI Petersburg?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And how did Ms. Largent explain that this came to  
13 happen?

14 A Ms. Largent indicated that she was out in the yard,  
15 looking for a bird nest underneath the stairwell. She  
16 identified herself as being the bird lady of FCI  
17 Petersburg. She did a lot with the pigeons and the birds  
18 there. And she was approached one day by Mr. Legins, and  
19 Mr. Legins started asking her questions about the  
20 transgender community.

21 Q And did Ms. Largent have a subsequent conversation  
22 with the defendant following that?

23 A Approximately a day later, Ms. Largent was again  
24 approached by Mr. Legins, and Mr. Legins indicated to  
25 Ms. Largent that he had had a dream about having sex with

Johnny Lavender - Direct

13

1 her and at that point was -- wanted to know if they were  
2 to do something like that, would there be a place in which  
3 they could actually have sex. And then it came up to what  
4 it would take in order for them to have sex.

5 Q What do you mean by "what it would take"?

6 A What in exchange, what could he give to Ms. Largent  
7 in exchange for the sex.

8 Q Did Ms. Largent say the defendant said anything to  
9 her in regards to taking care of her?

10 A Ms. Largent indicated that she was a little bit taken  
11 aback by a guard making this proposition because she said  
12 she had never been propositioned like this before or never  
13 done anything like that before and it was something that  
14 was new to her.

15 Q Did the defendant say anything about watching out for  
16 her?

17 A Yeah. The defendant also indicated that he would  
18 take care of her or watch out for her.

19 Q Did Ms. Largent tell you whether she did eventually  
20 agree to have sex with the defendant?

21 A She did. She indicated that she had sexual  
22 intercourse with Mr. Legins on approximately ten  
23 occasions, five times orally, five times anally, with the  
24 first couple of times being oral.

25 Q Did Ms. Largent indicate whether she -- before this

Johnny Lavender - Direct

14

1 took place, before the sexual acts took place, whether she  
2 did, in fact, tell the defendant that she had a specific  
3 price that she needed to have met?

4 A After -- after the interaction in which Mr. Legins  
5 indicated that he was interested -- or had had a dream  
6 about having sex with Ms. Largent, approximately a week  
7 later, she came back with a price of two to three packs of  
8 cigarettes for engaging in sex.

9 Q And did Ms. Largent say why cigarettes? Did she  
10 smoke?

11 A In the prison setting, cigarettes are currency. They  
12 can exchange for stamps. These stamps are used to go buy  
13 commissary items or go buy items that are for sale in the  
14 yard on weekends.

15 THE COURT: So she's telling you this is  
16 consensual sex in return for the payment of cigarettes; is  
17 that right?

18 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Yes, Your Honor, you're  
19 correct.

20 THE COURT: No allegations of force. It's just  
21 consent?

22 THE WITNESS: No allegations of force at all,  
23 sir.

24 THE COURT: Go ahead.

25 BY MR. GARNETT:

Johnny Lavender - Direct

15

1 Q So you've already mentioned the sex acts,  
2 Agent Lavender. Did -- and I hesitate to do this, but did  
3 Ms. Largent say anything to you in terms of describing the  
4 defendant's penis?

5 A Yes. Ms. Largent said that Mr. Legins had a seven-  
6 to seven-and-a-half-inch penis.

7 Q Where did Ms. Largent tell you that these sexual acts  
8 took place?

9 A In the E South fire escape.

10 Q And did Ms. Largent tell you whether, as these acts  
11 continued to take place, whether the defendant continued  
12 to provide her with cigarettes?

13 A Yes. She said they continued to be supplied to her  
14 by Mr. Legins.

15 Q And your Honor has already touched on this, but did  
16 Ms. Largent at any point during your interview express any  
17 animus towards the defendant?

18 A None.

19 Q How did she say that she viewed the relationship?

20 A She viewed it as a consensual relationship and kind  
21 of like a business transaction. She saw -- there was  
22 nothing at all, any type of malice at all from her during  
23 this interview.

24 Q So in the course of your investigation,  
25 Agent Lavender, have you had the opportunity to become

Johnny Lavender - Direct

16

1 familiar with the layout of FCI Petersburg?

2 A I have.

3 Q And approximately -- in this past year, approximately  
4 how many times would you say you have visited that  
5 facility?

6 A Approximately a dozen plus.

7 Q Have you walked through the housing units that have  
8 been at issue in this trial -- or in the previous trial?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And have you discussed the layout of those housing  
11 units with prison staff?

12 A I have.

13 Q And have you reviewed blueprints and photographs of  
14 those facilities?

15 A I have.

16 MR. GARNETT: Your Honor, we have a number of  
17 exhibits that I'd like to hand up to Agent Lavender now.

18 THE COURT: So I've got eight photos.

19 MR. GARNETT: Yes, sir.

20 THE COURT: Is that what you want to introduce?

21 You don't have any objection to these, do you?

22 MR. GAVIN: No, sir.

23 THE COURT: All right. They will all be  
24 admitted.

25 MR. GARNETT: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 Johnny Lavender - Direct

17

1 (Government Exhibit Number 1 was admitted.)

2 (Government Exhibit Number 2 was admitted.)

3 (Government Exhibit Number 3 was admitted.)

4 (Government Exhibit Number 4 was admitted.)

5 (Government Exhibit Number 5 was admitted.)

6 (Government Exhibit Number 6 was admitted.)

7 (Government Exhibit Number 7 was admitted.)

8 (Government Exhibit Number 8 was admitted.)

9 THE COURT: I think I'm seeing FCI Petersburg in  
10 my sleep. So let's just go through this description real  
11 quickly about what they are.

12 MR. GARNETT: Yes, sir.

13 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

14 BY MR. GARNETT:

15 Q So, Agent Lavender, the Court has already admitted  
16 these. Could you -- starting with Exhibit 1, could you  
17 just walk quickly through, for the Court, what each of  
18 those exhibits depict in sequence?

19 A Government Exhibit 1 is a view of the F South/E South  
20 building -- or the unit.

21 Q Exhibit 2?

22 A Government Exhibit Number 2 is the -- the first door  
23 is a picture that was shown in Government Exhibit 1 as  
24 you're walking toward the door.

25 Q And, Agent Lavender, just to make it clear for the

Johnny Lavender - Direct

18

1 Court, were these photographs taken in sort of a sequence  
2 by the photographer?

3 A Yes, they were, as if you were walking from the  
4 starting location to the end location.

5 Q So what does Government Exhibit 3 depict?

6 A When you enter in Government Exhibit 2, the first  
7 door, you see the second door in the background. When you  
8 exit out the second door in Government Exhibit Number 2,  
9 you end up with a view from -- showing you in Government  
10 Exhibit 3, which is looking to your left.

11 If you were to have looked right, it would have  
12 been the unit team area that was in question during trial  
13 with Mr. Lemagne.

14 Q Exhibit 4?

15 A Exhibit -- Government Exhibit Number 4 is just a  
16 closer view of the door that's marked FE1.

17 Q And FE1, to your knowledge, stands for what?

18 A Fire Escape 1.

19 Q Government Exhibit 5?

20 A Government Exhibit 5 is a closer view of FE1.

21 Q All right. And as you're looking at that door,  
22 Agent Lavender, FE1, would you need -- or would an officer  
23 need a key to enter through that door?

24 A Yes. You would have been required to have a key to  
25 open that door.

Johnny Lavender - Direct

19

1 Q So Government Exhibit 6?

2 A Government Exhibit 6, if you were to have opened the  
3 FE1 door that you saw in Exhibit Number 5, this is a view  
4 you had of the room.

5 Q Is this the fire escape room?

6 A Yes, it is.

7 Q And that door in the -- to the right side of the  
8 picture there, where would that door lead?

9 A It would lead outside.

10 Q And would an officer need a key to enter from the  
11 outside?

12 A Yes. If you -- on the exhibit, you'll see that there  
13 is no way to open the door from the inside. In order to  
14 exit that door, you would have to have someone with a key  
15 on the outside to open.

16 Q Government Exhibit 7?

17 A Government Exhibit 7. When you would walk through  
18 the door, this would be the stairwell to your left leading  
19 upstairs to the F South unit.

20 Q And what would be at the top of those stairs?

21 A Another door similar to the one on the bottom.

22 Q Would an officer need a key to enter the F South unit  
23 through that door?

24 A Yes, you would.

25 Q And finally, Government Exhibit 8?

Johnny Lavender - Direct

20

1 A Government Exhibit 8 is the door that I mentioned  
2 earlier from the previous exhibits, that you would need a  
3 key from the outside to open to let someone out.

4 Q Now, the -- the fire escape, we've been calling it  
5 the E South fire escape. Is it fair to say that the  
6 E South fire escape services both the E South and the  
7 F South housing units?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Would an E South officer have the keys necessary to  
10 enter and exit the relevant doors in the E South fire  
11 escape?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Would an officer assigned to the F South housing unit  
14 have keys -- the keys necessary to access those doors?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Going back to Ms. Largent's account now,  
17 Agent Lavender, did she explain -- Ms. Largent -- whether  
18 she was, at some point, moved to the Special Housing Unit  
19 on FCI Petersburg?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And approximately what dates did Ms. Largent say she  
22 was incarcerated at the -- let's call it the SHU for  
23 short?

24 A She said approximately 45 days prior to her being  
25 transferred out of the facility.

Johnny Lavender - Direct

21

1 Q To your knowledge, did that span essentially February  
2 through May of 2016?

3 A Yes, it did.

4 Q Did Ms. Largent say whether the defendant ever came  
5 to visit her during that time period?

6 A Yes. She said that Mr. Legins came to visit her  
7 approximately ten times.

8 Q Did the defendant ever say anything to her about  
9 trying to change his shift in order to have the ability to  
10 visit her?

11 A There was one indication that Mr. Legins changed a  
12 shift from the mobile unit to the SHU.

13 Q And that's what Ms. Largent told you?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Did Ms. Largent tell you anything out of -- I'm  
16 sorry, Your Honor -- a specific instance wherein Mr. --  
17 the defendant visited her and said something to her?

18 A On one of the occasions, Ms. Largent indicated that  
19 Mr. Legins had come by and asked her to show him her butt.

20 Q Just to clarify, in terms of the visitation here, did  
21 Ms. Largent tell you the defendant had been assigned to  
22 work in her housing unit or simply that he came to visit  
23 her there?

24 A Nine of the ten times that she mentioned, she said he  
25 just came by to visit. The one time she mentioned that he

Johnny Lavender - Direct

22

1 had changed his work schedule from the mobile unit to the  
2 SHU.

3 Q Okay. Do officers not assigned to the SHU have the  
4 ability to enter that facility?

5 A They can enter, yes.

6 Q Is that something, in your conversations with BOP  
7 staff, that would raise a led flag, an unassigned officer  
8 entering the SHU?

9 A No, it would not raise any flags.

10 Q Turning to an individual now, Agent Lavender, named  
11 Raysean Davis.

12 THE COURT: Before we do that --

13 MR. GARNETT: Yes, sir.

14 THE COURT: -- let me ask you this. You said  
15 that you interviewed Ms. Largent after the trial was over;  
16 is that right?

17 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: But as Mr. Garnett said, you all had  
19 Ms. Largent here, ready to testify. Had somebody else  
20 interviewed her before?

21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. The FBI interviewed her  
22 in February -- approximately February of 2019.

23 THE COURT: Was the account of what she said  
24 before the trial similar to the account that she gave  
25 after the trial?

Johnny Lavender - Direct

23

1 THE WITNESS: Similar, but not as much detail.

2 THE COURT: All right. What differences were  
3 there?

4 THE WITNESS: As far as in some of the details  
5 as far as in being the bird lady of Petersburg and talking  
6 about -- you know, giving the size of the penis. I'm not  
7 sure if it was in the first interview or the second  
8 interview. The -- I don't think Raysean Davis came up in  
9 the first interview, talking about him.

10 THE COURT: How about the purchase of sex for  
11 cigarettes? Was that mentioned in the first one?

12 THE WITNESS: It was mentioned, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: All right. And is there -- other  
14 than Ms. Largent's statements, is there any corroboration  
15 at all, physical evidence that corroborates her  
16 statements?

17 THE WITNESS: Other than the penis size that was  
18 put into evidence and her giving an account of that?

19 THE COURT: I'm not talking about penis size.

20 THE WITNESS: Okay. I --

21 THE COURT: Other than that, though, is there  
22 anything about -- is there video showing them going into  
23 that area? As I understand it from the pleadings, there  
24 is none; is that right?

25 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor, there are not.

Johnny Lavender - Direct

24

1 THE COURT: All right. Is there any complaint  
2 by Ms. Largent to any jail officials, before she was  
3 transferred, about this?

4 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Is there any witnesses that observed  
6 this?

7 THE WITNESS: The sexual intercourse between  
8 Mr. Legins and Ms. Largent?

9 THE COURT: Yeah.

10 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Is there any physical evidence? So,  
12 for example, with Mr. Lemagne, there was sperm on  
13 sweatshirts and the anorectal swab that you all procured.  
14 Is there anything like that that would corroborate  
15 Ms. Largent?

16 THE WITNESS: No, sir. No, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: So essentially this boils down to  
18 what Ms. Largent says; is that right?

19 THE WITNESS: Ms. Largent's statements, yes,  
20 Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

22 MR. GARNETT: Yes, Your Honor.

23 Your Honor, if we can turn to the video right  
24 now, actually, Your Honor, since the Court mentioned that.

25 BY MR. GARNETT:

Johnny Lavender - Direct

25

1 Q In the course of your investigation, Agent Lavender,  
2 have you been able to determine how long FCI Petersburg  
3 maintained video surveillance in the period of 2014 to,  
4 say, 2016?

5 A Yes. According to the information I was provided, it  
6 really depended on the type of camera. Sometimes it could  
7 be 14 days, 30 days. Although we did find one camera that  
8 went back almost 60 days. So that's kind of the time  
9 range that it would be able to capture.

10 THE COURT: So -- wait a minute. Just to be  
11 clear what you're saying is you're talking about the  
12 retention time period of it, right?

13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. The recording of the  
14 cameras. Some of the cameras, they would maintain the  
15 video -- depending on the camera, some would maintain  
16 their video longer than other cameras.

17 THE COURT: Okay. I think what my question,  
18 though, was -- and I'm glad you said what you just said,  
19 but my question is this. Were there videos in the -- what  
20 is it, FE1 that you're --

21 MR. GARNETT: The fire escape room, Your Honor?

22 THE COURT: Fire escape area where this  
23 allegedly occurred?

24 THE WITNESS: No, sir. To my knowledge, there  
25 are no cameras in the fire escapes.

Johnny Lavender - Direct

26

1 THE COURT: All right. And my recollection from  
2 trial was, though, that you all put on evidence that the  
3 guards know where the cameras are, right?

4 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. That is something that  
5 is extremely evident.

6 THE COURT: Right. Okay.

7 BY MR. GARNETT:

8 Q Agent Lavender, if there's not a request -- because I  
9 know the Court recalls the video surveillance that we  
10 presented during trial. If there's not a request for  
11 video surveillance from a specific camera, does that  
12 camera simply overwrite after the retention period the  
13 Court mentioned?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And the Court has already touched on this, but did  
16 Ms. Largent ever indicate to you that she did complain to  
17 prison staff about the transactional relationship she had  
18 with the defendant?

19 A There was no complaints, to my knowledge.

20 Q Okay.

21 THE COURT: All right. I cut you off. You were  
22 going to talk about Raysean Davis, I think it was. Do you  
23 want to talk about Raysean Davis?

24 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

25 MR. GARNETT: Thank you, Your Honor.

Johnny Lavender - Direct

27

1 BY MR. GARNETT:

2 Q Did you have -- did you -- did Ms. Largent ever say  
3 anything about having a relationship with an individual  
4 named Raysean Davis at FCI Petersburg?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And did you have the opportunity to interview Raysean  
7 Davis on January 31st of this year, of 2020?

8 A Briefly, yes.

9 Q And at this point, was it your understanding the  
10 defense had already had the opportunity to meet with  
11 Mr. Davis --

12 A Yes.

13 Q -- and counsel?

14 During your interview with Mr. Davis, did you  
15 ask Mr. Davis about his relationship with various other  
16 inmates and correctional officers at FCI Petersburg?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And how would you characterize Raysean Davis'  
19 demeanor during that interview?

20 A Mr. Davis' responses were extremely short and not  
21 very forthcoming with any information.

22 Q Did you ask Mr. Davis if he had any idea why his  
23 testimony could potentially be relevant to a trial in this  
24 case?

25 A Yes, I did.

Johnny Lavender - Cross

28

1 Q And what was his response?

2 A He had no idea.

3 MR. GARNETT: Your Honor, that's all the  
4 questions I have for the special agent at this point.

5 THE COURT: All right. Do you want to put your  
6 mask back on and have a seat?

7 MR. GARNETT: Yes, sir.

8 THE COURT: Mr. Gavin.

9 MR. GAVIN: Yes, sir. Thank you.

10 **CROSS-EXAMINATION**

11 BY MR. GAVIN:

12 Q Good morning, Special Agent Lavender.

13 A Good morning, sir.

14 Q You're going to have to forgive me because I'm going  
15 to use the redacted version. The first time that  
16 Ms. Largent was interviewed was back in February; is that  
17 correct?

18 A February 2019, I believe.

19 Q Yeah. And some officers or agents from Atlanta  
20 interviewed her at that point, correct?

21 A Yes, sir.

22 Q Okay. I'm going to hand up to you, if I could,  
23 something that I filed as --

24 THE COURT: Do you want to mark that?

25 MR. GAVIN: It's Exhibit A.

Johnny Lavender - Cross

29

1 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we mark it Defense  
2 Exhibit 1.

3 MR. GAVIN: Okay.

4 THE COURT: Just for the record, what is it? Is  
5 that the 302?

6 MR. GAVIN: Yes, sir.

7 (Defendant Exhibit Number 1 was admitted.)

8 BY MR. GAVIN:

9 Q Now, unfortunately, Special Agent Lavender, this is  
10 redacted, but is this a version of the interview that took  
11 place with Ms. Largent on February 6, 2019?

12 A Yes, sir.

13 Q Can you point out in that document anywhere where she  
14 indicates that there was an agreement to exchange sex for  
15 cigarettes?

16 A In this particular one?

17 Q Yes, sir.

18 I'll save you from trying to read it because I  
19 think it's redacted. But if you look at the bottom of --  
20 one, two, three, four -- the fourth paragraph, the only  
21 reference that I see there, it says that, "Blank would  
22 often receive cigarettes from Legins."

23 THE COURT: What page are you on?

24 MR. GAVIN: I'm on page 1, bottom of paragraph  
25 four.

Johnny Lavender - Cross

30

1 A If you're referring to, "He stated she and Legins  
2 began talking to one another"?

3 Q That's correct. The last sentence in that paragraph.

4 THE COURT: Why don't you just read what it  
5 says.

6 MR. GAVIN: Okay.

7 BY MR. GAVIN:

8 Q It says, "Blank" -- which I assume would be  
9 Ms. Largent -- "would often receive cigarettes from  
10 Legins" --

11 A Okay.

12 Q -- is that correct?

13 To your knowledge as the agent on the case file,  
14 is there any other reference in this 302 that makes  
15 reference to any deal or exchange of sex for cigarettes?

16 A What you're reading here, you're saying that "would  
17 often receive cigarettes from Legins," you're saying is  
18 there anywhere else in here that talks about exchange --

19 Q Anything else where she talks in-depth like she did  
20 on February 20th of this year. In her original statement,  
21 did she say anything that would be consistent with what  
22 she said in February of this year versus this statement in  
23 2019?

24 A No.

25 Q If you go to the next page, which is -- has a Bates

Johnny Lavender - Cross

31

1 stamp 413 on it, and I'm just going to read this to you.  
2 Down there, the third line from the very bottom, it said,  
3 "Blank," which I assume means Ms. Largent, "listed the  
4 following persons that has knowledge of her relationship  
5 with Legins." Do you know whether or not that statement  
6 says Raysean Davis or she was referring to Raysean Davis?

7 A I don't -- to my recollection, Raysean Davis' name is  
8 not listed there.

9 THE COURT: Did you not get an unredacted  
10 version?

11 MR. GAVIN: I did, but I was putting this  
12 together quickly. So I just used this because I thought  
13 he would be familiar with the case file.

14 THE COURT: All right. Okay.

15 BY MR. GAVIN:

16 Q Raysean Davis, was he mentioned at all in the second  
17 interview that you took on February 20th, 2020?

18 A Yes. Raysean Davis was mentioned as being her  
19 boyfriend.

20 Q But when you went to speak with him, he had no idea  
21 what you were talking about with Mr. Legins?

22 A If I could ask you to repeat that, please.

23 Q Yeah. He had no idea why you would be interviewing  
24 him with respect to a relationship between Ms. Largent and  
25 Mr. Legins?

Johnny Lavender - Cross

32

1 A So you're referring to Mr. -- excuse me. You said  
2 "he." I want to make sure I'm understanding.

3 Q Mr. Davis.

4 A Okay. So when I spoke to Mr. Davis, I did not ask  
5 Mr. Davis specifically about a sexual relationship. No, I  
6 did not.

7 Q When you interviewed Mr. Largent on February 19th,  
8 2020, he made reference to two other individuals that  
9 would know specific or have specific knowledge with  
10 respect to his relationship. One was a gentleman named  
11 Javon White; is that correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Did you interview Mr. White?

14 A No.

15 Q Another one was the name of an unidentified black  
16 male known as Old School Dog. Did you attempt to locate  
17 or track down Old School Dog?

18 A No. Excuse me. Let me rephrase that. I did ask if  
19 anyone -- I believe it was the SIA from Petersburg, if he  
20 was familiar with someone by that name, but I did not find  
21 anyone by that name or was not -- did not determine  
22 someone by that name.

23 Q Is there any -- let's go back to the Atlanta  
24 interview, which is in your hand. That interview makes  
25 reference to Mr. Largent, or Ms. Largent, also having a

Johnny Lavender - Cross

33

1 sexual relationship with another officer, does it not?

2 A Yes, it does.

3 Q All right. Do you know whether that investigation  
4 resulted in any corrective action against any other  
5 officer or inmate?

6 A I'm not familiar with what happened in this  
7 investigation. I was not part of it, and I have no  
8 information to provide toward it.

9 Q So he made a similar allegation against another  
10 officer, but we don't know whether or not his information  
11 was corroborated in that investigation or whether it was  
12 not?

13 A I'm not aware of anything to do with that case.

14 Q Are you familiar that he has a record, or she has a  
15 record?

16 A Ms. Largent?

17 Q Yes.

18 A Yes.

19 Q I'll summarize this, but would it be fair to say that  
20 the record --

21 THE COURT: I'm going to accept the record that  
22 you summarized in your papers. Is that all right?

23 MR. GAVIN: Yes, sir.

24 THE COURT: I don't want to get into this whole  
25 other trial about G.L.

Johnny Lavender - Cross

34

1 MR. GAVIN: I understand.

2 THE COURT: I get significant criminal history  
3 dealing with sexual offenses and deceit.

4 MR. GAVIN: Yes.

5 THE COURT: That's why she's in jail.

6 BY MR. GAVIN:

7 Q So then I'll narrow it down. For purposes of trial,  
8 and I think I shared a summary with you, I summarized the  
9 days on which Mr. Legins worked in the E unit versus the  
10 days that she actually resided in the E unit. Are you  
11 familiar with that summary?

12 A I know you provided a summary, yes.

13 Q All right. Based on my summary, is it fair to say  
14 that Mr. Legins only worked in the E unit for ten days?

15 A From my recollection -- we went over this in  
16 Mr. Garnett's office, and to my recollection, I believe  
17 that is correct.

18 Q And is it fair to say that of those ten shifts, six  
19 of them were day watch?

20 A To my recollection, from the -- from Mr. Garnett --

21 Q One was morning watch, which would have been from  
22 midnight to 8?

23 A Again, going off of memory, but I don't have any  
24 reason not to believe what you're saying.

25 Q All right. So based on these pictures, if there was

Johnny Lavender - Cross

35

1 any sexual activity that occurred in the fire escape on  
2 day watch, it would have been in broad daylight, correct?

3 A If there was a sexual activity and it was during the  
4 day, it would be in -- it could be during the day, yes.

5 Q And there's windows -- on both sides of those doors  
6 to the fire escape, there are windows where anybody from  
7 the outside could see in, correct?

8 A If I can make sure, there are about a 3-inch window  
9 with a sliver. You can see partial of that area. You  
10 cannot see the entire area in there at all.

11 Q All right. So there are cameras, however, on the  
12 compound that would show that outer door, right?

13 A There are cameras inside and outside of that  
14 facility.

15 Q So if there had been a preservation of these tapes up  
16 until current day, then we would have able to see both  
17 them going in that door from the outside or from the  
18 inside, correct?

19 A Again, we're referring to Mr. Largent -- Ms. Largent?  
20 Excuse me.

21 Q Yes.

22 A If it would have been preserved?

23 Q Yes.

24 A There could have been -- I'm not familiar with the  
25 camera angle for that particular door on the outside. I

Johnny Lavender - Cross

36

1 know the inside. I've seen the footage from that area.

2 It could show someone walking into that room.

3 Q All right. If Mr. Legins is the commanding officer  
4 for that particular floor, how many officers are on a  
5 floor, based on your investigation?

6 A Excuse me. Ask me that one more time.

7 Q How many officers work the floor on any given shift  
8 on a particular day? One? Two? Three?

9 A My understanding, one.

10 Q All right. So if Legins were to leave F South and go  
11 downstairs for a sexual encounter in E South, he would  
12 essentially leave his entire floor unattended?

13 A Very similar to what he did with Mr. Lemagne, yes.

14 Q It was mentioned by the judge, but I'm just going to  
15 confirm. Is there any corroborative evidence other than  
16 Ms. Largent's version that any of what she said actually  
17 took place?

18 A Ask that again, please.

19 Q Is there any corroborative information, whether it's  
20 physical, camera, medical or otherwise, that what  
21 Ms. Largent said actually happened did, in fact, happen  
22 other than what she told you and the agents in Atlanta?

23 A I would draw to the similarities between  
24 Mr. Lemagne's account of how he was groomed to how  
25 Ms. Largent was groomed.

Johnny Lavender - Cross

37

1 THE COURT: That's what this is about, right?

2 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

3 THE COURT: This is a grooming case; isn't that  
4 right?

5 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

6 THE COURT: And grooming relates to consensual  
7 sex, doesn't it?

8 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: That's the whole notion. The notion  
10 is you groom somebody who you're not supposed to have sex  
11 with to convince them to have sex with you; isn't that  
12 right?

13 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: And that's different than forcible  
15 rape, isn't it?

16 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

17 THE COURT: All right.

18 MR. GAVIN: I don't have any other questions,  
19 Judge.

20 THE COURT: All right.

21 I'm going to ask you to put your mask back on  
22 before you sit down. There you go.

23 As soon as he's done, you can redirect.

24 MR. GARNETT: Yes, sir.

25 Just very briefly, Your Honor.

Johnny Lavender - Redirect

38

**REDIRECT EXAMINATION**

1 BY MR. GARNETT:

2 Q Agent Lavender, the word allegations came up. How  
3 did Ms. Largent come to the FBI's attention? Did she  
4 reach out to investigators?

5 A No, she did not. She was actually referred to us by  
6 another transsexual who -- well, she was referred to us by  
7 another transsexual with knowledge.

8 MR. GARNETT: That's all, Your Honor. Thank  
9 you.

10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Agent. You  
11 can step down. I appreciate your testimony. Do you want  
12 to put your mask back on before you step down?

13 (Witness stood aside.)

14 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask the  
15 government, then, do you have any other evidence?

16 MR. GARNETT: No, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Do you have any other evidence that  
18 you want to put on other than -- the defendant is going to  
19 have to chance to allocute in July. Is there anything  
20 else you want to put on?

21 MR. GAVIN: No, sir.

22 THE COURT: All right. Now, let's talk about  
23 the guideline calculation here. I'm going to tell you  
24 where I am, and I'm going to allow you to do some

1 supplemental briefing. The -- as you know, after the  
2 trial, I went back and I looked at the maximum punishment  
3 for 1001 and determined that it should be eight years  
4 imprisonment because the underlying offenses that the  
5 defendant was convicted of lying about involved  
6 Chapter 109A. So because of that, necessarily, the  
7 guideline provision is 2J1.2. You start with a base  
8 offense level of 14. It's increased by four levels, then,  
9 due to the violation of Chapter 109A.

10           The objection, as I understand it by Mr. Gavin,  
11 is really threefold dealing really with what is the  
12 cross-reference. And as I understand your objections  
13 here, first is that the jury found no sexual act. I beg  
14 to differ with you on that because due to the -- their  
15 finding on the lie -- and we had separated the two lies.  
16 So we have a special finding by the jury, which is what  
17 *Apprendi* calls for, in terms of whether or not the lie  
18 involved a sexual act. So I differ with you on that. And  
19 for that reason also, your other argument about *Apprendi*  
20 and the Sixth Amendment I think is wrong because they  
21 necessarily found a sexual act.

22           You also talk about the *Pearson* case, saying  
23 that the accessory provision doesn't apply. I think  
24 that's been overruled, and that's really not applicable  
25 here anyhow. We'll write a little bit about that.

1           The real issue in my mind is the  
2 cross-reference, and you apply the accessory after the  
3 fact guideline 2X3.1, but the question is to what offense.  
4 And the probation officer, Officer Foote, who's sitting  
5 over here, applied the aggravated sexual abuse one for  
6 that, and I think you object to that, which I think is the  
7 major objection. Now, I can use acquitted conduct to  
8 support that under the *Watts* case, but I'm not willing to  
9 do that here.

10           I will say this. I personally found Mr. Lemagne  
11 to be credible. Having said that, the jury clearly  
12 rejected his testimony as it relates to force. And I am  
13 not -- and there is no corroboration beyond his testimony  
14 that force was used. And so to the extent that you object  
15 to the cross-reference on that, I'm sustaining the  
16 objection.

17           But here's where I think the calculation needs  
18 to occur, and I intend to -- for me to find beyond a  
19 preponderance of the evidence, I'm essentially looking at  
20 what the jury found and whether there is evidence to  
21 corroborate Mr. Lemagne's account. So on -- there were  
22 two episodes. The first episode was in March of -- March  
23 the 16th of 2018. And there is corroboration that there  
24 was sexual intercourse between the defendant and  
25 Mr. Lemagne at that time because we have the sweatshirt

1 that even though you argued contamination, Mr. Gavin, to  
2 the jury, there was no evidence of contamination as it  
3 relates to the sweatshirt, as to that, and, of course, we  
4 have the prison video that puts them in that room. And I  
5 think that's sufficient corroboration.

6 Now, the question then becomes is what was the  
7 sexual contact. And there is a difference between there  
8 was penetration, however slight, in the defendant -- in  
9 the victim's mouth or whether or not it was masturbation.  
10 You ran the old hand job defense in your closing argument.

11 Now, because their only evidence that it would  
12 have been penetration came from Mr. Lemagne, I'm going to  
13 accept that as to that incident, that it was masturbation  
14 that resulted in the -- in the semen that was found in the  
15 sweatshirt. But that is a violation of Section 2244,  
16 which involves sexual contact. And sexual contact is  
17 defined, then, in Section 2246(3), defining sexual contact  
18 involving touching of anyone of genitalia with the intent  
19 to arouse or gratify. I'm paraphrasing. And that would  
20 include the manual masturbation here that you -- the  
21 so-called hand job that you said, Mr. Gavin, is what  
22 occurred here.

23 So -- and under the law, I can find, by a  
24 preponderance of the evidence, for uncharged conduct. So  
25 I'm finding, based upon the defendant's statements -- or

1 I'm sorry -- the victim's statements, Mr. Lemagne's  
2 statements, the sperm on the sweatshirt for which there is  
3 no evidence of contamination and the prison videos, that  
4 there is a preponderance of the evidence establishing the  
5 masturbation of the defendant by the victim as to the  
6 March 16th account.

7 As to the March 10th -- or I'm sorry -- the  
8 May 10th account, I'm going to remind you that the jury  
9 found that there was a sexual act, and because the  
10 government elected ultimately not to put on the 413  
11 witnesses, the only evidence that's in the -- that was  
12 before the jury was sex with Mr. Lemagne, right. And  
13 that -- and they found a sexual act, as opposed to sexual  
14 contact, which means either a penetration by mouth or by  
15 anus.

16 And as to that incident, there is corroboration  
17 beyond Mr. Lemagne's testimony that it was sexual contact  
18 at least in his anus, as demonstrated by the anorectal  
19 swabs, for which there was no evidence introduced of  
20 contamination. To me, there is beyond any doubt that this  
21 defendant had sex in Mr. Lemagne's rectal area on that  
22 day, further corroborated by the prison tapes. So I'm  
23 finding, by a preponderance of the evidence, that that was  
24 sexual abuse of a ward.

25 How do I reconcile these findings with the jury

1 verdict? One is that they did find a sexual act. The  
2 problem lies this. The government made a serious error  
3 here, which is the only way I can reconcile it, and that's  
4 this. If you go back and look over the jury instructions,  
5 jury instructions that the government asked me to give, on  
6 Counts One and Two, you properly state that as to consent,  
7 the government has the burden of establishing that this  
8 was nonconsensual as to those two offenses. But as to  
9 sexual abuse of a ward, an inmate cannot consent to sex,  
10 and you all never told the jury that. You should have  
11 asked for an instruction that delineated the difference  
12 between Counts One and Two and Counts Three and Four.

13 And what I believe the jury found, which is  
14 similar to the evidence that -- which is put forth by  
15 Agent Lavender, was that this was a consensual trading of  
16 sex for cigarettes. You all put on all this evidence  
17 about grooming. You built a defense of consent for them.  
18 Yet you never told the jury that an inmate cannot consent  
19 to sex with a prison official due to the uneven  
20 relationship, right. And I think that is why the jury got  
21 confused between -- Counts One and Two are different than  
22 Counts Three and Four, and I think that's the way to  
23 resolve it.

24 So the question then becomes what the guideline  
25 calculation is. So if we were to take just the guideline

1 calculation for the May offense using the 22 -- the  
2 violation of 2243(b), sexual abuse of a ward, my  
3 calculation comes out to offense level 18, Criminal  
4 History Category I, with a range of 27 to 33 months.

5 However, what I have not done is the grouping  
6 analysis that because it's two offenses involving the same  
7 victim and they are different offenses based upon my  
8 findings, you then turn to the grouping provisions in  
9 3D1.1 et seq. into the guidelines.

10 So what I propose to do is this is I'm going to,  
11 first of all, give you each a chance to be heard about  
12 this, but this is kind of where I'm at. But then I was  
13 going to have the probation officer do a recalculation of  
14 the guidelines by Wednesday, to do an addendum that  
15 recalculates based on my findings here beyond a  
16 preponderance, and also to do the grouping analysis,  
17 because the grouping is very complicated and I'd rather  
18 have a probation officer take a swing at it first before I  
19 look at it.

20 Then I am allowing you all, then, to brief this  
21 by Monday. And you're going to do two things. One,  
22 you're going to tell me -- you're going to preserve any  
23 objections. You're going to -- I guess both sides are  
24 probably going to object to this today, although I think  
25 I'm going along with much of what Mr. Gavin has submitted

1 in his objection. You can add any additional objections  
2 that you want on the guidelines. You're going to also  
3 tell me whether you agree with Officer Foote's  
4 recalculation, particularly -- I think I'm right on the 18  
5 offense level for the May offense. But the grouping. I  
6 want -- because grouping is complicated, and I want you  
7 each to tell me whether you agree with the grouping  
8 analysis that Officer Foote does. Okay?

9           Then -- now, let's assume, just for the sake of  
10 argument -- and I'm not saying this is going to be the  
11 outcome -- the range is then 27 to 33 months. You've  
12 asked for a downward variance when you thought the  
13 guideline was going to be 96. You can still do any other  
14 variances that you want, but so can the government. So  
15 the government may say -- and I'm putting you on notice,  
16 referring to the defense, that I'm considering an upward  
17 departure based upon multiple actions. Not just involving  
18 this defendant, but also with G.L., the testimony that  
19 Agent Lavender put on. I'm going to decide the weight. I  
20 want to see what you all say, but there's a basis now, I  
21 think, for an upward variance based upon multiple  
22 instances.

23           And in particular, what I want to go back to is  
24 the grouping. So if the -- if the March offense is  
25 grouped, there's no reason, on that basis alone, to do an

1 upward variance, right. But if it is not grouped, there  
2 is a reason for variance. In other words, if it is  
3 grouped, the guidelines are taking into account the other  
4 event, right. But if it is not grouped, the guidelines  
5 are not taking it into account. That, in and of itself,  
6 is a basis for an upward variance.

7           Additionally, as I said, Agent Lavender's  
8 testimony about the interview of G.L. is possibly a basis.  
9 I'm going to decide what the weight is to affix that for a  
10 variance, as well as his primary disciplinary action with  
11 the Richmond sheriff's department where he was disciplined  
12 also for sexual conduct in relation to inmates. The  
13 government may have any other reasons they want for an  
14 upward variance.

15           My point is the government didn't ask for a  
16 variance before because we were at the top level, right.  
17 I've now changed the analysis on the guidelines. So  
18 you're free to make a variance. You don't have to, but  
19 you may. I'm giving notice to the defense procedurally  
20 that I'm inclined to do that, but I want to have everybody  
21 brief it.

22           But you're still free to do whatever variances  
23 or departures, Mr. Gavin, that you want to raise. I think  
24 you should refile what you've said before because now  
25 we're in a different area if my guideline calculation is

1 right.

2           So with that -- and then -- then I'll deal with  
3 the 3553(a) factors. So what I want you to do is I want  
4 you to do guidelines first, whether you agree or not with  
5 what Officer Foote is going to calculate based on my  
6 ruling, particularly the grouping. I want you to then  
7 tell me what your variances are and then any other  
8 arguments that you want to do under 3553(a), and I'm going  
9 to address those in July.

10           So, Mr. Gavin, I'll turn to you first since it  
11 was your objection that I sustained. I'll give you an  
12 opportunity to say anything you want.

13           MR. GAVIN: No, Judge. I understand your  
14 rulings, and I think that we'll have to brief it to give  
15 the Court more information on where we ultimately end up.

16           THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Garnett or  
17 Ms. Gilbert, do you want to come up here?

18           MS. GILBERT: Sure. Thank you, Your Honor.

19           The Greer case that's cited in our brief sets  
20 out what I think our position would be on this issue,  
21 which is that it is the scope of the investigation that  
22 controls the cross-reference rather than what the  
23 underlying acts were. So, in other words, at the time  
24 that the defendant lied to federal agents, he knew that  
25 their investigation was of the 2241. He knew that they

1 were investigating allegations of a forcible,  
2 nonconsensual rape. And so that's the obstruction  
3 cross-reference that should operate. It should be 2241  
4 because it's not necessarily about what the jury found or  
5 the verdict. It's about what the defendant was lying to  
6 cover up, what he understood the scope of the  
7 investigation to be.

8                   And that makes sense that the guidelines would  
9 contemplate a higher offense level when someone is lying  
10 about a more serious allegation, whether or not the  
11 allegation is true. It's more important to tell the truth  
12 because it's higher stakes. And so the -- the *Dickerson*  
13 case is the Fourth Circuit case that talks about the  
14 2J1.3, I believe, which is somewhat comparable in the  
15 perjury context. But there's a First Circuit case that  
16 explains that perjury is a little bit different. You  
17 might need to inquire more into what the prosecution is  
18 doing there because there's more of an over or  
19 undercharging concern at that point before the grand jury.  
20 That's the *Conley* case, and I can include that in the  
21 briefing that we'll submit to Your Honor by Monday.

22                   But essentially, the cross-reference has --  
23 contemplates looking at what the investigation was all  
24 about. And the *Greer* case out of the Sixth Circuit, the  
25 *Conley* case out of the First Circuit, they're comparable

1 with the *Dickerson* case, but they're a little bit more  
2 helpful because they actually address the scenario here  
3 more directly.

4 THE COURT: Okay. For that to happen -- I mean,  
5 I've read what you wrote, but for that to happen, when the  
6 defendant was interviewed -- and we had the interview that  
7 was introduced, it's not my recollection -- you tell me if  
8 I'm wrong and I'll go back and look at this. And I want  
9 you to put this in your brief -- whether he was  
10 specifically told that he was being investigated for rape  
11 versus having sex with inmates. And I think it's the  
12 latter, he was being investigated for sex with inmates.  
13 And if that's true, then the -- it is the -- the sexual  
14 abuse of a ward.

15 Look, I'm going to say again, I believe Brandon  
16 Lemagne. I was surprised by the verdict. But I think you  
17 made a mistake here, a significant mistake in relaying the  
18 concept of consent to them, and particularly in your  
19 rebuttal. In your rebuttal, you should have stood up and  
20 said, "What he just said, he's guilty." You know, the old  
21 hand job defense that he just pulled out of the air when  
22 there was really nothing to support it, right. You're  
23 learning, and I'm not trying to be critical of you.

24 I'm just trying to tell you, having looked at  
25 this and being stuck with the jury verdict, if I had been

1 the judge, I would have convicted him. If I would have  
2 been a fact finder, I would have convicted him across the  
3 board because I think that's what occurred here. But  
4 that's not my job here, right. They found a sexual act,  
5 and the only way that I can figure out what they did was  
6 that they found consent.

7 And all that grooming evidence that you -- you  
8 wanted to put on more, too. Remember, I prevented it.  
9 You built that defense of consent. The more you put on,  
10 the more that they had this consent argument. Are you  
11 seeing that now?

12 MS. GILBERT: Yes, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: All right. So that's why I think  
14 they landed with the, yeah, they had sex, it was consent.

15 To me, there's no way to get around the rectal  
16 swab that occurred here. And there was no evidence of  
17 contamination. While he threw that up, in the rebuttal  
18 you also didn't respond to that.

19 And there were two pieces of evidence for which  
20 there was no suggestion at all that there was  
21 contamination. One was the sweatshirt from the first  
22 incident, and the other is the rectal swab from the second  
23 one, which, to me, gives me preponderance on those two.

24 So, look, I'll be glad to look at what you have  
25 to say, but I don't think your argument fits, the case law

1 that you're suggesting, unless you can show me factually  
2 that he was told we're investigating rape as opposed to  
3 sexual conduct. Does that make sense to you?

4 MS. GILBERT: Yes, Your Honor. And I'll find  
5 those citations.

6 THE COURT: So, look, as you know, I'm not -- it  
7 doesn't prevent me from revisiting my rulings. I've  
8 already done that during the trial, but this is the way  
9 I'm going to rule for now. If there's a reason to change,  
10 I'll let you all know, but that's kind of where I'm at on  
11 this.

12 What I want to focus on now -- I mean, you can  
13 raise that argument. I'll take a look at it, but I want  
14 you to focus on this grouping issue because grouping is  
15 complicated here, and there's going to be a direct  
16 interaction between whether or not it's grouped and an  
17 upward variance. Of course, I gather now you're going to  
18 argue for the upward variance also on Agent Lavender's  
19 testimony, and also this Richmond sheriff's department  
20 thing as well, and any other reason that you want.

21 But to me, we've got to figure out the grouping.  
22 I thought about taking a shot at it, but because it's so  
23 complicated, I think Officer Foote, who I have a lot of  
24 confidence in, I think he should take the first shot. And  
25 I asked him to get it done by Wednesday, to prepare an

1 addendum that's just going to address the guideline  
2 calculation, and that will give you all until Monday,  
3 then, to respond. Does that sound fair?

4 MS. GILBERT: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else  
6 you wanted to say?

7 MS. GILBERT: No thank you, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: I know you're preserving your  
9 objection on my calculation. I know you don't like it,  
10 but that's the way life works, right. So you're  
11 preserving your objections for the record. I will -- if  
12 you want me to reconsider, you can show me. But, to me,  
13 that's the key thing, and if you show me that, I'll take a  
14 another look at it.

15 MS. GILBERT: Thank you, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Did you have  
17 anything else, Mr. Gavin?

18 MR. GAVIN: No, sir.

19 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else,  
20 then, we need to do today? We're going to reconvene on  
21 July the 14th, but is there anything else we need to do,  
22 Mr. Garnett?

23 MR. GARNETT: No, sir.

24 THE COURT: Mr. Gavin?

25 MR. GAVIN: No, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Legins, you've heard my rulings. I'll look forward to seeing you on July the 14th, then.

(The proceeding concluded at 12:27 p.m.)

**REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE**

I, Tracy J. Stroh, OCR, RPR, Notary Public in and for  
the Commonwealth of Virginia at large, and whose  
commission expires September 30, 2023, Notary Registration  
Number 7108255, do hereby certify that the pages contained  
herein accurately reflect the stenographic notes taken by  
me, to the best of my ability, in the above-styled action.

Given under my hand this 28th day of September 2020.

/s/  
Tracy J. Stroh, RPR